



NOVOGRADAC

Journal of Tax Credits™

June 2022 ♦ Volume XIII ♦ Issue VI

Published by Novogradac

Debt and Equity Market Updates

The Future of ESG Investing

Environmental, social and governance investing is on the rise, but remains a work in progress

Page 4

CRA Regulations Could Increase Community Development Investment

The OCC, Fed and FDIC released a joint notice of proposed rulemaking to strengthen the CRA

Page 8

Maturing OZ Incentive Fueling Continued Surge in Investment

More investors are informed about OZ, rules and regulations.

Page 11

Syndicators Express Optimism in LIHTC Debt, Equity Markets

LIHTC panelists discuss construction complications, yields and more.

Page 18

Robust NMTC Equity Market Has Focus On Racial Equity

NMTC experts report increased competition among investors.

Page 56

Decrease in 50% Test Could Boost Production, but Include Unintended Consequences for Pricing

RICHARD GERWITZ, CITI COMMUNITY CAPITAL

With an overwhelming need in so many neighborhoods for more affordable housing, reducing the threshold for receiving an allocation of private activity bonds (PABs) and the related 4% low-income housing tax credits (LIHTCs) from a 50% test to a 25% test is a welcome and eagerly anticipated prospect in the multifamily affordable housing market. But not surprisingly, there may be some unanticipated consequences that could mitigate some of the potential benefit.

The change has the potential to double the supply of 4% LIHTCs in states taking full advantage of the reduction, an increase in volume that might arguably lower the price per credit that investors are willing to pay while also increasing debt costs, making it more expensive for affordable housing developers to build and preserve housing.

Since the Great Recession, which was a relative low point in the use of PABs and the associated LIHTCs, the number of projects and units produced under the incentive has steadily increased. New York was the first state to use all of the bond cap it had available for multifamily housing, followed by California and then a host of others, including Washington, Oregon, Texas, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Colorado, Utah and Minnesota. Some of the states that have used all of their bond cap, or that portion of the bond cap dedicated to multifamily housing, have tried to spread the available capacity as widely as possible by eliminating allocations for “80/20s,” focusing almost exclusively on new construction and limiting the amount of allocation provided to any one project to no more than the amount needed to pass the 50% test.

Still, even with those limitations in place, more than \$3 billion of project allocation applications were submitted to California’s allocating agency in March for the \$1.5 billion the agency has available in the first of two allocation rounds it will conduct this year.

The proposed reduction in the 50% test was one of several provisions the affordable housing industry was able to have included in the House version of the Build Back Better Act. While the package will not be passed in its earlier form, the reduction of the 50% test is still considered to have a good chance of being enacted, either as part of a scaled-back reconciliation bill or a year-end tax-extender bill.

If the test were to be reduced to 25%, it could double the number of 4% LIHTCs available in those states that take advantage of the opportunity. Recently, the industry has had some experience with the impact a substantial increase in the volume of tax credits can have on pricing. While there is nothing conclusive and it would not be easy to separate all the factors that impact pricing, the experience suggests that a modest

to significant decrease in the price of the credits may occur in certain markets.

Financial institutions have been the primary, if not exclusive, investors in LIHTCs, as they are an efficient way for banks to make community investments as part of their obligation to satisfy the lending and investment tests under the Community Reinvestment Act (CRA). They have become so active in the market that they have driven down returns and disincentivized economic buyers. There can be a wide divergence in the price per credit based on geography. Concentrations of banks and a limited amount of tax credits, for example, have driven pricing substantially higher in high-need CRA markets such as New York, San Francisco and Miami versus other parts of the country. A number of market participants believe that the most significant price reductions will be in smaller markets, where there are fewer CRA-influenced investors. Tangentially, there does already seem to be some reduced interest in high-need CRA markets where larger project sizes and single project tax credit totals serve to limit the number of interested buyers.

Of course, corporate tax rates also affect tax credit pricing. After a period of uncertainty following the 2016 elections when tax credit investors pulled back from the market, the reduction of the corporate tax rate from 35% to 21% in 2018 caused average equity pricing to fall about 10 cents per dollar credit. Additional pressure from the increase in the amount of 9% credits available and the fixing of the 4% tax

credit rate seem to have had a less dramatic, but noticeable, impact on pricing.

Another notable consequence of reducing the 50% test would be that a project's capital stack may include more taxable debt, which can be up to 50 basis points more expensive than comparable tax-exempt debt. As a result, projects that have blended tax-exempt and taxable debt will incur increased interest costs during construction and may find that they qualify for a smaller permanent loan amount if some of the taxable debt needs to remain outstanding during the permanent period. The combination of lower tax credit pricing and higher interest costs will also mean there will be a need for an increased subsidy for each project and an increase in the overall amount of subsidy needed given the larger number of projects able to secure an allocation of bonds and credits.

Regardless of the downside of lower tax credit pricing, higher interest rates and the need for more subsidy, lowering the 50% test will still enable more projects to be built and preserved. The industry has proven to be thoughtful and creative in adapting to changes in policy, markets and local conditions over the years. The key to the increases in available resources, however, will be to make sure PAB allocations are spread wisely and in a manner that increase affordable housing stock without driving up the overall cost of doing so.

Richard Gerwitz is a managing director for Citi Community Capital.

© Novogradac 2022 - All Rights Reserved.

This article first appeared in the June 2022 issue of the Novogradac Journal of Tax Credits. Reproduction of this publication in whole or in part in any form without written permission from the publisher is prohibited by law.

Notice pursuant to IRS regulations: Any discussion of U.S. federal or state tax issues contained in this article is not intended to be used, and cannot be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code; nor is any such advice intended to be used to support the promotion or marketing of a transaction. Any discussion on tax issues reflected in the article are not intended to be construed as tax advice or to create an accountant-client relationship between the reader and Novogradac & Company LLP and/or the author(s) of the article, and should not be relied upon by readers since tax results depend on the particular circumstances of each taxpayer. Readers should consult a competent tax advisor before pursuing any tax savings strategies. Any opinions or conclusions expressed by the author(s) should not be construed as opinions or conclusions of Novogradac & Company LLP.

This editorial material is for informational purposes only and should not be construed otherwise. Advice and interpretation regarding property compliance or any other material covered in this article can only be obtained from your tax advisor. For further information visit www.novoco.com.

EDITORIAL BOARD

PUBLISHER

Michael J. Novogradac, CPA

EDITORIAL DIRECTOR

Alex Ruiz

TECHNICAL EDITORS

Thomas Boccia, CPA
Chris Key, CPA
Diana Letsinger, CPA

Matt Meeker, CPA
John Sciarretti, CPA
Stacey Stewart, CPA

COPY

SENIOR EDITOR

Brad Stanhope

SENIOR MARKETING MANAGER

Teresa Garcia

SENIOR COPY EDITOR

Mark O'Meara

SENIOR WRITER

Nick DeCicco

CONTRIBUTING WRITERS

Richard Gerwitz
Chris Key
Rebecca Darling

Cindy Hamilton
Warren Sebra

ART

CREATIVE DIRECTOR

Alexandra Louie

GRAPHIC DESIGNER

Brandon Yoder

CONTACT

CORRESPONDENCE AND EDITORIAL SUBMISSIONS

Teresa Garcia
teresa.garcia@novoco.com
925.949.4232

ADVERTISING INQUIRIES

Christianna Cohen
christianna.cohen@novoco.com
925.949.4216

ALL MATERIAL IN THIS PUBLICATION IS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY AND SHOULD NOT BE CONSTRUED AS PROFESSIONAL ADVICE OFFERED BY NOVOGRADAC OR BY ANY CONTRIBUTORS TO THIS PUBLICATION.

ADVICE AND INTERPRETATION REGARDING THE LOW-INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT OR ANY OTHER MATERIAL COVERED IN THIS PUBLICATION CAN ONLY BE OBTAINED FROM YOUR TAX AND/OR LEGAL ADVISOR.

ADVISORY BOARD

OPPORTUNITY ZONES

Dan Altman	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
Glenn A. Graff	APPLAGATE & THORNE-THOMSEN
Jill Homan	JAVELIN 19 INVESTMENTS
Martin Muoto	SOLA IMPACT

LOW-INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDITS

Jim Campbell	SOMERSET DEVELOPMENT COMPANY LLC
Tom Dixon	LUMENT
Richard Gerwitz	CITI COMMUNITY CAPITAL
Elizabeth Bland Glynn	TRAVOIS INC.
Rochelle Lento	DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC
John Lisella III	U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.
Derrick Lovett	MBD COMMUNITY HOUSING CORP.
Rob Wasserman	U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.

PROPERTY COMPLIANCE

Jen Brewerton	DOMINIUM
Kristen Han	WNC
Michael Kotin	KAY KAY REALTY CORP.

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Victor Cirilo	NEWARK HOUSING AUTHORITY
Flynann Janisse	RAINBOW HOUSING
Ray Landry	DAVIS-PENN MORTGAGE CO.
Denise Muha	NATIONAL LEASED HOUSING ASSOCIATION
Monica Sussman	NIXON PEABODY LLP

NEW MARKETS TAX CREDITS

Frank Altman	COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT FUND
Maria Bustria-Glickman	US BANK
Elaine DiPietro	BLOOMING VENTURES LLC
Chimeka Gladney	ENTERPRISE COMMUNITY INVESTMENT INC.
Tracey Gunn Lowell	U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.
Ruth Sparrow	FUTURES UNLIMITED LAW PC
William Turner	WELLS FARGO

HISTORIC TAX CREDITS

Irvin Henderson	HENDERSON & COMPANY
Merrill Hoopengardner	NATIONAL TRUST COMMUNITY INVESTMENT CORP.
Bill MacRostie	MACROSTIE HISTORIC ADVISORS LLC
Marty Richardson	OLD NATIONAL BANK
Claudia Robinson	BANK OF AMERICA
Donna Rodney	MILES & STOCKBRIDGE
John Tess	HERITAGE CONSULTING GROUP

RENEWABLE ENERGY TAX CREDITS

Jim Howard	DUDLEY VENTURES
Elizabeth Kaiga	DNV GL
Forrest Milder	NIXON PEABODY LLP

© Novogradac 2022 All rights reserved.

ISSN 2152-646X

Reproduction of this publication in whole or in part in any form without written permission from the publisher is prohibited by law.